PROFORMA OF SUBPROJECT APPRAISAL REPORTS

1.	Supproject Name	
2.	Subproject Town	
3.	Tranche	☐ Project–1, ☐ Project–2, ☐ Project–3, ☐ Project–4
4.	Sector	Water supply Sewerage and sanitation
		Solid waste management Urban road and transportation
		Slum upgrading
5.	Introduction and	A. Background
•	Rationale	[Brief description of existing situation at city level and in subproject area]
		[2110] accompliant of externing entaution at only force and in early office areas
		B. Need of Improvement
		[asses the need, current service level, demand: both in terms of quantitative
		and qualitative aspect]
		and qualitative aspects
		C Analysis of the Need
		C. Analysis of the Need
		[increase in demand/usage/generation, lack of production/collection/treatment
		capacity, inefficiency distribution/collection]
		D. Government Actions for the Need
		[whether the subproject will solve the problem of the area or it is part of many
		parallel activities initiated by government to solve the problem
		[what are the status of parallel development activities, if any]
		Timat are the statue of parameter development detivition, if any
6.	Description	A. Subproject area
٥.	Bescription	[brief description of topography, soil condition, climate, current population
		etc]
		B. Assessment of Existing Situation
		[status of physical infrastructure, their condition and service level]
		C. Objective of the Subproject
		[identify specific outcome: how subproject will mitigate the demand supply
		gap,, how many people will be benefited(immediate and design), what will be
		the improvement in service level both in terms of quantity and quality, what
		are the service level standards]
		D. Subproject Component
		[proposed physical activity under the subproject, including the specific
		outputs]
		E. Risk and Assumption
		[indicate risk and assumption for achieving the subproject output and
		outcome
		Indicate statutory approvals needs to be obtained for implementation of the
		subproject]
		Attachment 1 (Design and Monitoring Framework) to be referred.
7.	Design Features	A. Design Period
		B. Basis of the Design
		Population:
		Immediate
		Projection
		Coverage:
		Current
		Design
		Requirements:
		Current

	Design
	Service level improvement: Current
	Current
	Design
	Technical parameters
	considered
8. Project Cost	A. Estimated Cost
	_ Item Amount
	A. Base Cost
	[name of contracts/ works or supply]
	[name of contracts/ works or supply]
	3. [name of contracts/ works or supply]
	4. [name of contracts/ works or supply]
	5. Taxes and duties
	Subtotal (A)
	B. Contingencies
	Price contingency
	Physical contingency
	Subtotal (B)
	Total (A+B)
	In mid-20XX prices.
9. Implementation	
•	A. Timeframe of Preparation and Implementation of Subproject
Period	Approval of this SAR
	Completion of detailed engineering design
	Issuance of tender documents
	Contract award
	Commencement of works
	Completion of contract
10. Implementation	[Discuss implementation arrangements covering, at least, the following:
Arrangements	Implementation (executing) agency
	Key agencies and parties involved and their roles]
11. Procurement and	A. Procurement
Consultant	B. Consultant Selection
Selection	
12. Economic and	A. Economic and Financial Justification
Financial Analysis	EIRR
(Provide detail analysis in	FIRR (for revenue generating facility)
Attachment)	
13. Environmental	A. Brief Description of Environmental Impact
Assessment	·
(Fill in attachment 2)	B. Summary of Rapid Environmental Assessment
,	Likely to be classified as:
	Category A under the EIA Notification of 2006 of Gol
	Category B under the EIA Notification of 2006 of Gol
	Unknown
	The project site is not:
	Within 10 km from the boundary of protected areas, notified areas and
	inter-state and international boundaries as defined under EIA Notification of
	2006 of Gol
	☐ A part of National Parks/Sanctuaries and Tiger Reserve areas (notified
	as defined under Indian Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972)
	Near to reserved forest or protected forest as defined under Forest
	(Conservation) Act, 1980 (amended in 1988)
	The subproject does not require:
	THE SUDDICIECT DOES HOT LEADING.

	[Consent for Establishment and Consent for Operation under the Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974 and/or the Air (Prevention		
	and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981		
	Authorization for waste processing and landfills under Municipal Solid		
	Waste (Management and Handling) Rules, 2000 of Gol		
14. Involuntary	A. Brief Description of Resettlement Issues		
Resettlement			
(Fill in attachment 3)	B. What is the ERA assessment on following?		
	This subproject is <u>unlikely</u> to cause:		
	Loss of any private land for agricultural land, homestead land or vacant		
	plot		
	Loss of any government land for agricultural land, homestead land or		
	vacant plot used by (i) legal or (ii) illegal occupants		
	Loss of residential structure including but is not limited to walls, fences,		
	sheds, wells used by (i) legal or (ii) illegal occupants Loss of commercial structure used by (i) legal or (ii) illegal occupants		
	Loss of trees and crops		
	Temporary loss of land		
	Temporary disruption of livelihood		
	Loss and temporary impacts on common resources		
15. Indigenous People	A. Brief Description of Issues		
Development	7.1 2.101 2000.101.01 01 100000		
(Fill in attachment 4)	B. What is the ERA assessment on following?		
,	There are no indigenous people or ethnic minority groups present in		
	project locations (as defined by Indian Constitution Article 342 and 366(25))		
16. Operation and	[Discuss O&M after completion covering, at least, the following:		
Maintenance	Asset owner after completion		
	O&M plan (institutional, technical, and financial arrangements)		
	Transfer plan of created assets and preparation activities for O&M]		
17. Other Issues, if any			
Attachments	Design and Monitoring Framework of the subproject		
	2. Economic and Financial Analysis		
	Rapid Environmental Assessment Checklist*		
	4. Involuntary Resettlement Checklist		
	5. Indigenous People Impact Checklist		
	6. Summary Compliance with the Subproject Selection Criteria*		
	Note: * Use applicable parts for the sub-sector		

STANDARD DESIGN AND MONITORING FRAMEWORK Urban Infrastructure Project

Design Summary*	Performance Targets/Indicators**	Data Sources/Reporting Mechanisms	Assumptions and Risks
Impact Sustainable economic growth through improved quality of urban life	 Decrease of cases waterborne diseases Growth in primary sector income. Increase in investments in industries and trades People's perception of their quality of life 	 State and local government statistics Socio economic survey 	Assumptions Continued government commitment to sector development and reforms Sustained economic growth in the country All beneficiaries make full use of improved access to urban serves Risks Political and economic instability Lack of employment opportunities results in underutilization of healthier workforces.
Outcome Improved access to urban services at the standards set by the government	 Service-Operational Target Population with improved access to water supply (as per the Government standards). Population with improved access to sanitation. Population with improved access to solid waste management. Service-Governance Target Improved operating ratios in ULBs and parastatals for service deliveries Timely publication of acceptable audited accounts Planning, designing, and monitoring of urban services using authentic database 	 City or ULB service level data/statistics Baseline data include (i) population with service levels at project inception, (ii) population that already has good access to service; and (iii) population that need improved access in the project area; Project performance monitoring system (PPMS) based on appraisal reports. PMU/PIU's monitoring of project progress Annual accounts and audit report 	Assumptions Parallel development, if any, will occur in the sector. State and municipal corporation commitment to necessary institutional and financial reforms to improve service delivery. House service connection will be provided by Government, if not included under the project Adequate O&M arrangements established. Government make full use of the new service management skills and technology
Outputs (i) Improved water supply infrastructure	 Increase in (i) the number of WTPs and/or tube wells and (ii) volume of potable water production (MLD). Water supply pipes installed 	 Project progress reports Project performance monitoring system 	Assumptions Land transfers and project affected persons' compensation agreed and completed before scheduled construction.

	(lem)	(DDMC)	- Commitment of arciact at-ff
(ii) Improved sanitation infrastructure	 (km) Number of households additionally served with new piped connection, if included under project Reduced UFW (unaccountedfor water) %, if included under project Increase in the volume of sewage treatment facilities (MLD). Increase in sewer networks (in kms). Increase in the number of household connected to septic tanks / sewers, if included under project 	(PPMS) Aide memoire of Review Missions.	 Commitment of project staff. Timely recruitment of implementation assistance consultants. ERA/PMUs fully staffed with requisite capacity to implement the Project Timely provision of counterpart funds. Contractors perform competently, to time and budget. Risks Overrun of Project construction costs and time Reluctance of ULBs to keep up with the pace of institutional change which is necessary. Community resistance to cost recovery programs
(iii) Improved SWM infrastructure	 Increase in number and capacity of sanitary landfills (in metric tonnes). Number of major collection equipment procured Number of transportation equipment/ vehicle procured 		cost recovery programs.
(iv) Strengthened project implementation capacity of Executing Agency	 Achievement of yearly contract award and disbursement targets Satisfactory compliance of ADB policies and procedures for procurement and safeguards Number of Public communication document published and satisfactory dissemination of project information Implementation of works following standard construction practice and proper schedule of activities 		
(iv) Strengthened local government through institutional reform and capacity building for sustainable services delivery	Technical: Preparation of operation and maintenance manuals for services Reduction of commercial losses of through water and energy audit Establishment of GIS databases and number of household(%) and assets(%) captured by GIS database		

Institutional

- Setting up of appropriate institutional structure in owner agencies for O&M
- Number of O&M staff/1000 connections
- Issue order to levy realistic user charges
- Number of ULB staff trained on project planning / design, implementation, and O&M aspects or participated in exposure trips

Financial

- Improved billing and collection of tariffs and taxes
- Annual O&M budget increased by X% of the value of new water assets created.
- Increase tax network
- Modernize the financial management system of ULBs(i.e. accrual-based double entry accounting, asset management etc)

Activities with Milestones (Indicate timeframe for each activity)

- 1. Project Management Unit (PMU) and Project Implementation Unit established with adequate staff
- 2. Consultants recruitment and mobilization
- 3. Procurement:
 - (i) Detailed engineering design
 - (ii) Bidding documents issued
 - (iii) Contracts awarding
 - (iv) Mobilization of contractors
- (v) Completion of contracts
- 4. Land and Right of Way acquisition
- 5. Resettlement, indigenous peoples plan implementation
- (i) RP and IPDP approved
- (ii) Award of Compensation
- 6. Environmental Clearances
- 7. Training session organized for PMU staff

Inputs

{include the following as applicable}

- ADB
- Government
- Co-financing
- Beneficiaries
- Private sector
- NGOs with GAD experiences
- Others
- Civil works (\$ million)
- Goods and equipment (\$million)
- Consulting services (\$million)
- Land acquisition and resettlement (\$million)
- Training and workshops (\$million)
- Surveys (\$million)

Explanatory Note

All baseline data related to input, output, outcome and associated risk and assumption will be captured in sub project appraisal reports. SARs will also set the targets (original) for each component. However, these targets can be updated as project progress (during detail engineering design and or contract execution)

How to assess the achievement of input?

Please refer to the input work sheets of PPMS file.

How to assess the achievement of output?

Please refer to the output work sheets of PPMS file.

How to assess the achievement of outcomes?

- 1) Water supply
- (A) Increase in availability of potable water (Quality as per Indian standards)
- (i) Augmentation of potable water production capacity
- (ii) reduction of physical losses
- (B) Number of people likely be benefited from the project
- Increase in availability of potable water/ per capita water consumption (as per Indian Standard)

- (C) Actual number of beneficiaries
- Number of people connected to the water network + Number of people dependent on stand post

If (C) is more than (B), then project has improved the service level by increasing per capita water availability by

=

= Increase in availability of potable water/ (C)

If house service connections are not included under the project, then it should be considered as one of the risk for achieving the outcome.

- 2) Sewerage
- (A) Actual number beneficiaries
- = Quantity of waste water being treated/ 80% of per capita water consumption

Please verify the number of beneficiaries by co-relating the number of properties connected to the sewerage system.

- 3) Solid Waste Management
- (A) Actual number beneficiaries = Quantity of Solid waste dumped/ per capita solid waste generated (as per GOI manual)

Please verify the number of beneficiaries by co-relating the composition of waste dumped.

Economic and Financial Analysis

RAPID ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT (REA) CHECKLIST Urban Development/Renewal, and Slum Improvement Subproject

Select an appropriate checklist for the sector

A. Screening Questions for Impact Categorization

Check the appropriate box (e.g 🔀 by double-clicking the box and selecting 'checked' in default value)

		y double-clicking	g the box and selecting checked in delatit value)
	Probable nvoluntary Resettlement Effects*	Current Assessment	Additional Information for Substantiating Assessment
A. F	Project Siting		
Is th	ne project area		
•	Densely populated?	□Yes □No	
•	Heavy with development activities?	□Yes □No	
•	Adjacent to or within any environmentally sensitive areas?	□Yes □No	
•	Cultural heritage site	☐Yes ☐No	
•	Protected Area	☐Yes ☐No	
•	Wetland	□Yes □No	
•	Mangrove	□Yes □No	
•	Estuarine	□Yes □No	
•	Buffer zone of protected area	□Yes □No	
•	Special area for protecting biodiversity	□Yes □No	
•	Bay	□Yes □No	
	ential Environmental Impacts the Project cause		
•	impacts on the sustainability of associated sanitation and solid waste disposal systems and their interactions with other urban services.	□Yes □No	
•	deterioration of surrounding environmental conditions due to rapid urban population growth, commercial and industrial activity, and increased waste generation to the point that both manmade and natural systems are overloaded and the capacities to manage these systems are overwhelmed?	□Yes □No	
•	degradation of land and ecosystems (e.g. loss of wetlands and wild lands, coastal zones, watersheds and forests)?	□Yes □No	
•	dislocation or involuntary resettlement of people	□Yes □No	
•	degradation of cultural property, and loss of cultural heritage and tourism revenues?	□Yes □No	
•	occupation of low-lying lands, floodplains and steep hillsides by	□Yes □No	

	Category A, Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), Environmental Management Plan including a Budget, Public Consultation (at least twice) and Disclosure 120 days in advance of Board Consideration are required. Category B sensitive, Initial Environmental Examination (IEE), Environmental Management			
B. IPMU's Assessment on Categorization of Environmental Impacts Based on the above checklist, what is the IPMU's assessment on the Categorization and Planning Requirements for this subproject?				
	ground waters due to improper waste disposal?	□No		
•	contamination of surface and ground waters due to improper waste disposal? contamination of surface and	☐Yes ☐No ☐Yes		
•	overpaying of ground water, leading to land subsidence, lowered ground water table, and salinization?	□Yes □No		
•	inversion, and smog formation? water depletion and/or degradation?	☐Yes ☐No		
•	hazards to public health due to ambient, household and occupational pollution, thermal	□Yes □No		
•	temporary silt runoff due to construction?	□Yes □No		
•	traffic disturbances due to construction material transport and wastes?	□Yes □No		
•	during rainy season? noise and dust from construction activities?	☐Yes ☐No		
•	road blocking and temporary flooding due to land excavation	□Yes □No		
•	social conflicts between construction workers from other areas and local workers?	□Yes □No		
•	air pollution due to urban emissions?	□Yes □No		
	water supply, deterioration for surface and ground water quality, and pollution of receiving waters?			
•	pollutive industries? water resource problems (e.g. depletion/degradation of available	☐Yes ☐No		
	squatters and low-income groups, and their exposure to increased health hazards and risks due to			

RAPID ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT (REA) CHECKLIST Water Supply Subproject

Select an appropriate checklist for the sector

A. Screening Questions for Impact Categorization
Check the appropriate box (e.g ⋈ by double-clicking the box and selecting 'checked' in default value)

	(- <u>0 </u>	,	<u> </u>
	Probable	Current	Additional Information for
	nvoluntary Resettlement Effects*	Assessment	Substantiating Assessment
	Project Siting ne project area		
13 (1	Densely populated?	□Yes	
•	Delisely populated?	□No	
•	Heavy with development activities?	☐Yes	
Ū	ricavy war development deavage.	□No	
•	Adjacent to or within any	□Yes	
	environmentally sensitive areas?	□No	
•	Cultural heritage site	□Yes	
		□No	
•	Protected Area	□Yes	
		□No	
•	Wetland	□Yes	
	Manaraya	□No □Yes	
•	Mangrove	∏No	
•	Estuarine	☐Yes	
	Estadimo	□No	
•	Buffer zone of protected area	Yes	
	·	□No	
•	Special area for protecting	□Yes	
	biodiversity	□No	
•	Bay	□Yes	
Dot	ential Environmental Impacts	□No	
	the Project cause		
VVIII	pollution of raw water supply from	□Yes	
•	upstream wastewater discharge	□No	
	from communities, industries,		
	agriculture, and soil erosion runoff?		
•	impairment of historical/cultural	□Yes	
	monuments/areas and loss/damage	□No	
	to these sites?		
•	hazard of land subsidence caused	☐Yes	
	by excessive ground water	□No	
	pumping? social conflicts arising from	□Yes	
•	displacement of communities ?	□ No	
•	conflicts in abstraction of raw water	□Yes	
•	for water supply with other	□No	
	beneficial water uses for surface		
	and ground waters?		
•	unsatisfactory raw water supply	□Yes	
	(e.g. excessive pathogens or	□No	
	mineral constituents)?		
•	delivery of unsafe water to	□Yes	
	distribution system?	□No	
•	inadequate protection of intake	□Yes	
	works or wells, leading to pollution of water supply?	□No	
•	over pumping of ground water,	□Yes	
-	over parripring or ground water,	. ∟. ∵	1

	leading to salinization and ground	□No	
	subsidence?		
•	excessive algal growth in storage	□Yes	
	reservoir?	□No	
•	increase in production of sewage	□Yes	
	beyond capabilities of community	□No	
	facilities?		
•	inadequate disposal of sludge from	□Yes □No	
	water treatment plants?	☐ ☐ Yes	
•	inadequate buffer zone around	∐ Yes ∏No	
	pumping and treatment plants to		
	alleviate noise and other possible nuisances and protect facilities?		
	impairments associated with	□Yes	
•	transmission lines and access	□ No	
	roads?		
•	health hazards arising from	□Yes	
	inadequate design of facilities for	□No	
	receiving, storing, and handling of		
	chlorine and other hazardous		
	chemicals.		
•	health and safety hazards to	□Yes	
	workers from the management of	□No	
	chlorine used for disinfection and		
	other contaminants?		
•	dislocation or involuntary	□Yes	
	resettlement of people	□No	
•	social conflicts between	□Yes	
	construction workers from other	□No	
	areas and community workers?		
•	noise and dust from construction	☐Yes	
	activities?	□No □Yes	
•	continuing soil erosion/silt runoff from construction operations?	l ⊟ res I ⊟No	
_	increased road traffic due to	☐Yes	
•	interference of construction	□No	
	activities?		
•	delivery of unsafe water due to poor	☐Yes	
-	O&M treatment processes	□No	
	(especially mud accumulations in		
	filters) and inadequate chlorination		
	due to lack of adequate monitoring		
	of chlorine residuals in distribution		
	systems?		
•	delivery of water to distribution	□Yes	
	system, which is corrosive due to	□No	
	inadequate attention to feeding of		
	corrective chemicals?		
•	accidental leakage of chlorine gas?	│	
	excessive abstraction of water	☐ ☐ Yes	
•	affecting downstream water users?	□ No	
•	competing uses of water?	□Yes	
	compound accoor water:	□No	
•	increased sewage flow due to	☐Yes	
	increased water supply	□No	
•	increased volume of sullage	□Yes	
	(wastewater from cooking and	□No	
	washing) and sludge from		
	wastewater treatment plant		

B. IPMU's Assessment on Categorization of Environmental Impacts

ed on the above checklist, what is the IPMU's assessment on the Categorization and ning Requirements for this subproject?
Category A, Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), Environmental Management Plan including a Budget, Public Consultation (at least twice) and Disclosure 120 days in advance of Board Consideration are required.
Category B sensitive, Initial Environmental Examination (IEE), Environmental Management Plan including a Budget, Disclosure 120 days in advance of Board Consideration and Public Consultation are required
Category B, Initial Environmental Examination (IEE) and Public Consultation are required Category C, Review of Environmental Implications is required

RAPID ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT (REA) CHECKLIST Sewage Subproject Select an appropriate checklist for the sector

A. Screening Questions for Impact Categorization
Check the appropriate box (e.g ⋈ by double-clicking the box and selecting 'checked' in default value)

	Probable	Current	Additional Information for
Ir	nvoluntary Resettlement Effects*	Assessment	Substantiating Assessment
	Project Siting		-
Is th	e project area		
•	Densely populated?	□Yes	
		□No	
•	Heavy with development activities?	□Yes	
	A diagont to an within any	□No □Yes	
•	Adjacent to or within any environmentally sensitive areas?	□ Tes □ □No	
•	Cultural heritage site	□Yes	
•	Cultural Heritage Site	□No	
•	Protected Area	□Yes	
		□No	
•	Wetland	□Yes	
		□No	
•	Mangrove	□Yes	
		□No	
•	Estuarine	□Yes	
	Buffer zone of protected area	□No □Yes	
•	Buller Zone or protected area	□No	
•	Special area for protecting	□Yes	
	biodiversity	□No	
•	Bay	□Yes	
	•	□No	
	ential Environmental Impacts		
Will	the Project cause		
•	impairment of historical/cultural	□Yes	
	monuments/areas and loss/damage to these sites?	□No	
	interference with other utilities and	□Yes	
•	blocking of access to buildings;	□No	
	nuisance to neighboring areas due		
	to noise, smell, and influx of insects,		
	rodents, etc.?		
•	impairment of downstream water	□Yes	
	quality due to inadequate sewage	□No	
	treatment or release of untreated		
	sewage?		
•	overflows and flooding of neighboring properties with raw	□Yes □No	
	sewage?		
•	environmental pollution due to	□Yes	
-	inadequate sludge disposal or	□No	
	industrial waste discharges illegally	_	
	disposed in sewers?		
•	noise and vibration due to blasting	□Yes	
	and other civil works?	□No	
•	discharge of hazardous materials	□Yes	
	into sewers, resulting in damage to	□No	
	sewer system and danger to workers?		
	WUIKEIS!		

•	inadequate buffer zone around	□Yes		
	pumping and treatment plants to	□No		
	alleviate noise and other possible			
	nuisances, and protect facilities?			
•	social conflicts between	□Yes		
	construction workers from other	□No		
	areas and community workers?			
•	road blocking and temporary	□Yes		
	flooding due to land excavation	□No		
	during the rainy season?			
•	noise and dust from construction	□Yes		
	activities?	□No		
•	traffic disturbances due to	□Yes		
	construction material transport and	□No		
	wastes?			
•	temporary silt runoff due to	□Yes		
	construction?	□No		
•	hazards to public health due to	□Yes		
	overflow flooding, and groundwater	□No		
	pollution due to failure of sewerage			
	system?			
•	deterioration of water quality due to	□Yes		
	inadequate sludge disposal or direct	□No		
	discharge of untreated sewage			
	water?			
•	contamination of surface and	□Yes		
	ground waters due to sludge	□No		
	disposal on land?			
•	health and safety hazards to	□Yes		
	workers from toxic gases and	□No		
	hazardous materials which maybe			
	contained in sewage flow and			
	exposure to pathogens in sewage			
	and sludge?			
В.	IPMU's Assessment on Cate	gorization of I	Environmental Impacts	
		90		
Doo	and on the above aboutlist w	bot is the IDI	All's assessment on the Catagorization and	
			MU's assessment on the Categorization and	
Pla	nning Requirements for this sub	project?		
	Category A, Environmental I	mpact Assessi	ment (EIA), Environmental Management Plan	
ш			east twice) and Disclosure 120 days in advance	
			doctiviou, and bisolocate 120 days in advance	
	of Board Consideration are re			
	• •		examination (IEE), Environmental Management	
	Plan including a Budget, Dis	sclosure 120 d	lays in advance of Board Consideration and	
	Public Consultation are requir			
			on (IEE) and Public Consultation are required	
\vdash				
\Box	Category C, Review of Environmental Implications is required			

RAPID ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT (REA) CHECKLIST Solid Waste Management Subproject Select an appropriate checklist for the sector

A. Screening Questions for Impact Categorization

Check the appropriate box (e.g ⋈ by double-clicking the box and selecting 'checked' in default value)

		,	<u> </u>
	Probable	Current	Additional Information for
	nvoluntary Resettlement Effects*	Assessment	Substantiating Assessment
	Project Siting e project area		
15 (11	Densely populated?	□Yes	
•	Densely populated?	□No	
•	Heavy with development activities?	☐Yes	
•	Treaty with development detivities.	□No	
•	Adjacent to or within any	□Yes	
	environmentally sensitive areas?	□No	
•	Cultural heritage site	□Yes	
	-	□No	
•	Protected Area	□Yes	
		□No	
•	Wetland	□Yes	
	Manage	□No	
•	Mangrove	□Yes □No	
•	Estuarine	□NO	
•	Estadrific	□No	
•	Buffer zone of protected area	□Yes	
		□No	
•	Special area for protecting	Yes	
	biodiversity	□No	
•	Bay	□Yes	
		□No	
	ential Environmental Impacts		
VVIII	the Project cause	□Yes	
•	impacts associated with transport of wastes to the disposal site or	□ No	
	treatment facility		
•	impairment of historical/cultural	□Yes	
	monuments/areas and loss/damage	□No	
	to these sites?		
•	degradation of aesthetic and	□Yes	
	property value loss?	□No	
•	nuisance to neighboring areas due	□Yes	
	to foul odor and influx of insects,	□No	
	rodents, etc.?		
•	public health hazards from odor,	□Yes	
	smoke from fire, and diseases	□No	
	transmitted by flies, insects, birds and rats?		
•	deterioration of water quality as a	□Yes	
-	result of contamination of receiving	□No	
	waters by leacheate from land	_	
	disposal system?		
•	contamination of ground and/or	□Yes	
	surface water by leach ate from land	□No	
	disposal system?		
•	land use conflicts?	☐Yes	
	wallishan af assification of the same	□No	
•	pollution of surface and ground	□Yes	

	water from leach ate coming form	□No	
	sanitary landfill sites or methane		
	gas produced from decomposition of solid wastes in the absence of air,		
	which could enter the aquifer or		
	escape through soil fissures at		
	places far from the landfill site?		
•	inadequate buffer zone around	□Yes	
	landfill site to alleviate nuisances?	□No	
•	social conflicts between	□Yes	
	construction workers from other	□No	
	areas and community workers?		
•	road blocking and/or increased	□Yes	
	traffic during construction of	□No	
	facilities?	□Voo	
•	noise and dust from construction activities?	□Yes □No	
_	temporary silt runoff due to	□Yes	
•	construction?	∏No	
•	hazards to public health due to	□Yes	
	inadequate management of landfill	□No	
	site caused by inadequate		
	institutional and financial		
	capabilities for the management of		
	the landfill operation?		
•	emission of potentially toxic volatile	□Yes	
	organics from land disposal site?	□No	
•	surface and ground water pollution	☐Yes	
	from leach ate and methane gas	□No	
	migration? loss of deep-rooted vegetation (e.g.	□Yes	
•	tress) from landfill gas?	□ No	
•	explosion of toxic response from	☐Yes	
	accumulated landfill gas in	□No	
	buildings?		
•	contamination of air quality from	□Yes	
	incineration?	□No	
		□Yes	
•	public health hazards from odor,		
•	smoke from fire, and diseases	□No	
•	smoke from fire, and diseases transmitted by flies, rodents, insects		
	smoke from fire, and diseases transmitted by flies, rodents, insects and birds, etc.?	□No	
•	smoke from fire, and diseases transmitted by flies, rodents, insects and birds, etc.? health and safety hazards to	□No	
	smoke from fire, and diseases transmitted by flies, rodents, insects and birds, etc.? health and safety hazards to workers from toxic gases and	□No	
	smoke from fire, and diseases transmitted by flies, rodents, insects and birds, etc.? health and safety hazards to	□No	
•	smoke from fire, and diseases transmitted by flies, rodents, insects and birds, etc.? health and safety hazards to workers from toxic gases and hazardous materials in the site?	□No □Yes □No	Environmental Impacts
•	smoke from fire, and diseases transmitted by flies, rodents, insects and birds, etc.? health and safety hazards to workers from toxic gases and	□No □Yes □No	Environmental Impacts
• B.	smoke from fire, and diseases transmitted by flies, rodents, insects and birds, etc.? health and safety hazards to workers from toxic gases and hazardous materials in the site? IPMU's Assessment on Cate	□No □Yes □No gorization of	
• B .	smoke from fire, and diseases transmitted by flies, rodents, insects and birds, etc.? health and safety hazards to workers from toxic gases and hazardous materials in the site? IPMU's Assessment on Cate sed on the above checklist, workers from the site?	□No □Yes □No gorization of □	Environmental Impacts MU's assessment on the Categorization and
• B .	smoke from fire, and diseases transmitted by flies, rodents, insects and birds, etc.? health and safety hazards to workers from toxic gases and hazardous materials in the site? IPMU's Assessment on Cate	□No □Yes □No gorization of □	
• B .	smoke from fire, and diseases transmitted by flies, rodents, insects and birds, etc.? health and safety hazards to workers from toxic gases and hazardous materials in the site? IPMU's Assessment on Cate sed on the above checklist, working Requirements for this substitution.	□No □Yes □No gorization of □ that is the IPI oproject?	MU's assessment on the Categorization and
• B .	smoke from fire, and diseases transmitted by flies, rodents, insects and birds, etc.? health and safety hazards to workers from toxic gases and hazardous materials in the site? IPMU's Assessment on Cate sed on the above checklist, worning Requirements for this sub Category A, Environmental I	□No □Yes □No gorization of leading that is the IPI project? mpact Assess	MU's assessment on the Categorization and ment (EIA), Environmental Management Plan
• B .	smoke from fire, and diseases transmitted by flies, rodents, insects and birds, etc.? health and safety hazards to workers from toxic gases and hazardous materials in the site? IPMU's Assessment on Cate sed on the above checklist, worning Requirements for this sub Category A, Environmental I including a Budget, Public Co	□No □Yes □No gorization of interproject? mpact Assess insultation (at let	MU's assessment on the Categorization and
• B .	smoke from fire, and diseases transmitted by flies, rodents, insects and birds, etc.? health and safety hazards to workers from toxic gases and hazardous materials in the site? IPMU's Assessment on Cate sed on the above checklist, worning Requirements for this sub Category A, Environmental I including a Budget, Public Co of Board Consideration are research.	□ No □ Yes □ No □ No □ Yes □	MU's assessment on the Categorization and ment (EIA), Environmental Management Plan east twice) and Disclosure 120 days in advance
• B .	smoke from fire, and diseases transmitted by flies, rodents, insects and birds, etc.? health and safety hazards to workers from toxic gases and hazardous materials in the site? IPMU's Assessment on Cate sed on the above checklist, worning Requirements for this sub Category A, Environmental I including a Budget, Public Co of Board Consideration are recategory B sensitive, Initial E	☐No ☐Yes ☐No gorization of Interproject? mpact Assesses insultation (at least equired. in the Interproject in the Interproject?	MU's assessment on the Categorization and ment (EIA), Environmental Management Plan east twice) and Disclosure 120 days in advance Examination (IEE), Environmental Management
• B .	smoke from fire, and diseases transmitted by flies, rodents, insects and birds, etc.? health and safety hazards to workers from toxic gases and hazardous materials in the site? IPMU's Assessment on Cate and the second control of the second control of the second control of the second control of Board Consideration are recategory B sensitive, Initial E Plan including a Budget, Dis	□No □Yes □No gorization of leading to the project? mpact Assesses insultation (at leading to the project) quired. nvironmental Esclosure 120 of	MU's assessment on the Categorization and ment (EIA), Environmental Management Plan east twice) and Disclosure 120 days in advance
• B .	smoke from fire, and diseases transmitted by flies, rodents, insects and birds, etc.? health and safety hazards to workers from toxic gases and hazardous materials in the site? IPMU's Assessment on Cate sed on the above checklist, worning Requirements for this sub Category A, Environmental I including a Budget, Public Co of Board Consideration are recategory B sensitive, Initial E	□No □Yes □No gorization of leading to the project? mpact Assesses insultation (at leading to the project) quired. nvironmental Esclosure 120 of	MU's assessment on the Categorization and ment (EIA), Environmental Management Plan east twice) and Disclosure 120 days in advance Examination (IEE), Environmental Management
• B .	smoke from fire, and diseases transmitted by flies, rodents, insects and birds, etc.? health and safety hazards to workers from toxic gases and hazardous materials in the site? IPMU's Assessment on Cate sed on the above checklist, worning Requirements for this substantial Category A, Environmental I including a Budget, Public Co of Board Consideration are recategory B sensitive, Initial E Plan including a Budget, Dis Public Consultation are requirements for the consultation are requirements.	□No □Yes □No gorization of Interproject? mpact Assess insultation (at lead in the project) and interproject. my and interproject in the project in the p	MU's assessment on the Categorization and ment (EIA), Environmental Management Plan east twice) and Disclosure 120 days in advance Examination (IEE), Environmental Management

RAPID ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT (REA) CHECKLIST Urban ROADS and Transport Subproject Select an appropriate checklist for the sector

A. Screening Questions for Impact Categorization
Check the appropriate box (e.g ⋈ by double-clicking the box and selecting 'checked' in default value)

	Deskable	0	A daliti a a al la fa anno ati a a fa a
	Probable nvoluntary Resettlement Effects*	Current Assessment	Additional Information for Substantiating Assessment
	Project Siting		
is th	e project area		
•	Densely populated?	□Yes □No	
_	Heavy with development activities?	□Yes	
•	Heavy with development activities?	l ⊟No	
•	Adjacent to or within any	□Yes	
	environmentally sensitive areas?	□No	
•	Cultural heritage site	□Yes	
	G	□No	
•	Protected Area	□Yes	
		□No	
•	Wetland	□Yes	
		□No	
•	Mangrove	□Yes □No	
_	Estuarine	□NO	
•	Lstuarine	□No	
•	Buffer zone of protected area	□Yes	
	20.101 20.10 01 protection direct	□No	
•	Special area for protecting	□Yes	
	biodiversity	□No	
•	Bay	□Yes	
D 1		□No	
	ential Environmental Impacts the Project cause		
VVIII	encroachment on historical/cultural	□Yes	
•	areas; disfiguration of landscape by	□No	
	road embankments, cuts, fills, and		
	quarries?		
•	encroachment on precious ecology	□Yes	
	(e.g. sensitive or protected areas)?	□No	
•	alteration of surface water	□Yes	
	hydrology of waterways crossed by	□No	
	roads, resulting in increased		
	sediment in streams affected by		
	increased soil erosion at		
	construction site? deterioration of surface water	□Voo	
•	quality due to silt runoff and sanitary	□Yes □No	
	wastes from worker-based camps		
	and chemicals used in		
	construction?		
•	increased local air pollution due to	□Yes	
	rock crushing, cutting and filling	□No	
	works, and chemicals from asphalt		
	processing?		
•	noise and vibration due to blasting	□Yes	
	and other civil works?	□No □Yes	
•	dislocation or involuntary resettlement of people	⊟ Yes ⊟No	
ı	1000thornord of poople		I and the second se

•	other social concerns relating to	│			
	inconveniences in living conditions in the project areas that may trigger				
	cases of upper respiratory problems				
	and stress?				
•	hazardous driving conditions where	□Yes			
	construction interferes with	□No			
	pre-existing roads?				
•	poor sanitation and solid waste	□Yes			
	disposal in construction camps and work sites, and possible	□No			
	transmission of communicable				
	diseases from workers to local				
	populations?				
•	creation of temporary breeding	□Yes			
	habitats for mosquito vectors of	□No			
	disease?				
•	dislocation and compulsory	☐Yes			
	resettlement of people living in	□No			
•	right-of-way? dislocation and compulsory	☐Yes			
	resettlement of people living in	□No			
	right-of-way?				
•	accident risks associated with	□Yes			
	increased vehicular traffic, leading	□No			
	to accidental spills of toxic materials				
	and loss of life?	∏Yes			
•	increased noise and air pollution resulting from traffic volume?	∏No			
•	increased risk of water pollution	☐Yes			
	from oil, grease and fuel spills, and	□No			
	other materials from vehicles using				
	the road?				
_					
В.	IPMU's Assessment on Cate	gorization of	Environmental Impacts		
_					
			MU's assessment on the Categorization and		
Pla	nning Requirements for this sub	project?			
_					
			ment (EIA), Environmental Management Plan		
	including a Budget, Public Co	nsultation (at le	east twice) and Disclosure 120 days in advance		
	of Board Consideration are required.				
			Examination (IEE), Environmental Management		
			days in advance of Board Consideration and		
	Public Consultation are requir		,		
	Category B, Initial Environmental Examination (IEE) and Public Consultation are required				
H	• •		•		
1 1	Category C, Review of Environmental Implications is required				

Involuntary Resettlement Checklist

A. Introduction

Projects¹ are assigned an involuntary resettlement category depending on the significance of the probable involuntary resettlement impacts. "Significant" means 200 or more people will experience major impacts. Major impacts are (i) being physically displaced from housing, or (ii) losing 10% or more of productive assets or both.

Initial screening for involuntary resettlement is to be conducted as early as possible in the project cycle, at the project concept stage where feasible, and no later than project or program preparatory technical assistance, project preparatory note fact-finding, or due diligence.

B. Instructions

The checklist and categorization form is to be completed by the Project Team Leader (PTL) with the assistance of a Resettlement Specialist or Social Development Specialist in the Operations Department. This form, endorsed by the Sector Division Director, is then submitted by the PTL to the Environment and Social Safeguard Division (RSES) for endorsement by RSES Director, and for approval by the Chief Compliance Officer (CCO) of the Regional and Sustainable Development Department (RSDD).

The involuntary resettlement categorization of a project is a continuing process. If there is a change in a project that may result in category change, the Sector Division should resubmit a categorization form for endorsement by RSES Director and approval by the CCO. The previous checklist should be attached to the revised checklist for reference.

C. Screening Questions for Impact Categorization

Check the appropriate box (e.g \infty by double-clicking the box and selecting 'checked' in default value)

Probable		Current	Additional Information for
Inv	oluntary Resettlement Effects*	Assessment	Substantiating Assessment
1.	Will the project include any	□Yes	
	physical construction work?	□No	
		Unknown	
		□Possible	
2.	Does the project include	□Yes	
	upgrading or rehabilitation of	□No	
	existing physical facilities?	Unknown	
		Possible	
3.	Are any project effects likely	□Yes	
	leads to loss of housing, other	□No	
	assets, resource use or	Unknown	
	incomes/livelihoods?	□Possible	
4.	Is land acquisition likely to be	□Yes	
	necessary?	□No	
		□Unknown	
		Possible	

¹ ADB financed projects include (i) public sector project loans, program loans, sector loans, sector development program loans, financial intermediation loans, private sector loans or equity investments, and guarantees for funding of specific projects or subprojects; (ii) all project components regardless of the source of financing.

5.	Is the site for land acquisition	□Yes	
	known?	□No	
		Unknown	
		□Possible	
6.	Is the ownership status and	□Yes	
	current usage of the land	∏No	
	known?	Unknown	
		Possible	
7.	Will easements be utilized within	□Yes	
	an existing Right of Way?	□No	
	an emeaning ranging or really r	Unknown	
		Possible	
8.	Are there any non-titled people	☐Yes	
0.	who live or earn their livelihood	□No	
	at the site or within the Right of	Unknown	
	Way?	Possible	
9.	Will there be loss of housing?	☐Yes	
9.	will there be loss of flousing!	∏No	
		Unknown	
		<u> </u>	
10	Will there he less of agricultural	☐Possible ☐Yes	
10.	Will there be loss of agricultural		
	plots?	□No	
		Unknown	
4.4	AACH (L. L. L. C.	Possible	
11.	Will there be losses of crops,	□Yes	
	trees, and fixed assets?	□No	
		Unknown	
		Possible	
12.	Will there be loss of businesses	☐Yes	
	or enterprises?	□No	
		Unknown	
		Possible	
13.	Will there be loss of incomes	□Yes	
	and livelihoods?	□No	
		Unknown	
4.4	VACIL	Possible	
14.	Will people lose access to	□Yes	
	facilities, services, or natural	□No	
	resources?	Unknown	
45	Will any again an against	Possible	
15.	Will any social or economic	☐Yes	
	activities be affected by land	No	
	use-related changes?	Unknown	
40	If involved and a settle see set	Possible	
16.	If involuntary resettlement		
	impacts are expected:	□ Vaa	
•	Are local laws and regulations	☐Yes	
	compatible with ADB's	No	
	Involuntary Resettlement	Unknown	
	policy?	Possible	
•	Will coordination between	□Yes	
	government agencies be	□No	
	required to deal with land	Unknown	
	acquisition?	Possible	
•	Are there sufficient skilled staff	□Yes	
	in the Executing Agency for	□No	
	resettlement planning and	Unknown	

	implementation?	Possible	
•	Are training and	□Yes	
	capacity-building interventions	□No	
	required prior to resettlement	Unknown	
	planning and implementation?	□Possible	
17.	Are there any estimates of the	□Yes	If yes, approximately how many?
	likely number of households that	□No	
	will be affected by the Project?		
18.	Are any APs from indigenous or	□Yes	If yes, please briefly describe their situation?
	ethnic minority groups? If yes,	∏No	
	please explain?		
וח	DMII's Assessment on Poset	tlament Categ	orization
D. I	PMU's Assessment on Resett	tlement Categ	orization
		_	
Bas	ed on the above checklist, v	vhat is the IP	orization MU's assessment on the categorization and
Bas		vhat is the IP	
Bas	ed on the above checklist, vaning requirements for this subp	what is the IP project?	MU's assessment on the categorization and
Bas	ed on the above checklist, value on the above checklist, value on the above checklist, value of the above checklist of the abo	what is the IP project? pact, a full Res	MU's assessment on the categorization and ettlement Plan is required.
Bas	ed on the above checklist, value on the above checklist, value on the above checklist, value of the above checklist of the abo	what is the IP project? pact, a full Res	MU's assessment on the categorization and
Bas	ed on the above checklist, vaning requirements for this subposed Category A, Significant IR imposed Category B, Nonsignificant IR	what is the IP project? pact, a full Res t impact, a sho	MU's assessment on the categorization and ettlement Plan is required. It Resettlement Plan is required.
Bas	ed on the above checklist, vaning requirements for this subposed Category A, Significant IR imposed Category B, Nonsignificant IR Category C, No involuntary research	what is the IP project? pact, a full Rese t impact, a show esettlemnt impa	MU's assessment on the categorization and ettlement Plan is required. It Resettlement Plan is required. It Resettlement Plan is required.
Bas	ed on the above checklist, vaning requirements for this subposed Category A, Significant IR imposed Category B, Nonsignificant IR Category C, No involuntary readditional information is need	what is the IP project? pact, a full Res t impact, a sho esettlemnt impa led for categori	MU's assessment on the categorization and ettlement Plan is required. It Resettlement Plan is required. In act, no resettlement report is required. It is requ
Bas	ed on the above checklist, wining requirements for this subposed Category A, Significant IR imposed Category B, Nonsignificant IR Category C, No involuntary readditional information is need Team Leader. In the interim, the	what is the IP project? pact, a full Research impact, a show the settlemnt impact in the project is clean.	MU's assessment on the categorization and ettlement Plan is required. It Resettlement Plan is required. In act, no resettlement report is required. It is requ
Bas	ed on the above checklist, vaning requirements for this subposed Category A, Significant IR imposed Category B, Nonsignificant IR Category C, No involuntary readditional information is need	what is the IP project? Dact, a full Resemble impact, a shown impact impact impact impact is cled for categorithe project is cled gory A and B	MU's assessment on the categorization and ettlement Plan is required. It Resettlement Plan is required. In act, no resettlement report is required. It is requ

Attachment 5

Indigenous Peoples Impact Checklist

A. Questions for Identifying Indigenous People in Subproject Areas

Check the appropriate box (e.g

by double-clicking the box and selecting 'checked' in default value)

Impact on indigenous peoples	
ļ	(IPs) and ethnic minority (EM)
	Are there IPs or EM groups present in project locations?
	Do they maintain distinctive customs or economic activities that may make them vulnerable to hardship?
	3. Will the project restrict their economic and social activity and make them particularly vulnerable in the context of project?
	Will the project change their socioeconomic and cultural integrity?
	5. Will the project disrupt their community life?
	6. Will the project positively affect their health, education, livelihood or social security status?
	7. Will the project negatively affect their health, education, livelihood or social security status?
	8. Will the project alter or undermine the recognition of their knowledge, preclude customary behaviors or undermine customary institutions?
	9. In case no disruption of indigenous community life as a whole, will there be loss of housing, strip of land, crops, trees and other fixed assets owned or controlled by individual indigenous households?

B. Anticipated project impacts on indigenous peoples

Check the appropriate box (e.g \infty by double-clicking the box and selecting 'checked' in default value)

Subproject outputs as stated in	Anticipated	positive Effect	Anticipated No	egative Effect

DMF in Appendix 1	
1.	
2.	
3.	
4.	

C. I	PMU's Assessment on Categorization of Impacts on Indigenous People
	ed on the above checklist, what is the IPMU's assessment on the Categorization and ning Requirements for this subproject?
	Category A, an Indigenous Peoples Development Plan (IPDP) is required or, for sector/Fl projects, an Indigenous Peoples Development Framework (IPDF) is required Category B, a specific action favorable to indigenous peoples/ethnic minority is required and addressed through a specific provision in RRP and in related plans such as a Resettlement Action Plan, a Gender Action Plan or a general Community Participatory Plan
	Category C, no IPDP/IPDF or specific action required

Summary Compliance with the Subproject Selection Criteria

A. General Subproject Selection Criteria

No	Criteria	Status of Compliance
(i)	The subproject has not been sanctioned under JNNURM or any	
	other program.	
(ii)	The subproject has been identified through the town and	
	infrastructure master plans and any changes thereafter are	
41115	consistent with it.	
(iii)	The subproject is technically sound and a preliminary design has	
<i>(</i> ;)	been prepared.	
(iv)	The subproject has the following features: (a) consumer demand	
	analysis indicates that people are willing to pay connection and	
	monthly charges to access the improved service; (b) the rationale for the project has been clearly identified; (c) project alternatives have	
	been identified and a least cost analysis has been conducted; (d) the	
	cost and benefit analysis indicates the economic internal rate of	
	return equals or exceeds the economic opportunity cost of capital for	
	the State under consideration;, and (e) a tariff analysis, including	
	equity consideration in tariff structure, willingness to pay and	
	affordability, in case of revenue-generating subprojects, has been	
	conducted.	
(v)	The subproject is financially sustainable and has a financial action	
	plan comprising (i) funds required for operation and maintenance of	
	completed infrastructure assets, (ii) sources of available funds	
	whether from State, GOI, or user charges, (iii) timed targets for	
	gradually meeting 100% of cost recovery for operation and	
	maintenance, and (iv) financial management system improvements.	
(vi)	A financial management assessment for both each implementing	
	body of the works and each owner of the assets created, responsible	
	for the operation and maintenance (O&M) of such assets, has been	
	prepared. This assessment will be in accordance with Chapters 3, 4 and 5 of the <i>Handbook for Borrowers on Financial Management and</i>	
	Analysis of Projects (2006), as updated from time to time.	
(vii)	A written agreement between the State Urban Development	
(۷11)	Department and the ULB or utility agencies that will operate the	
	scheme has been signed, including a commitment to (a) take over	
	O&M of infrastructure assets and facilities created under the	
	NERCCDIP along with existing facilities and (b) make sufficient	
	provision for O&M in their budget (until 100% tariff recovery is	
	achieved).	
(viii)	All necessary India and State Government approvals and clearances	
	have been obtained.	
(ix)	The subproject avoids or minimizes land acquisition or resettlement.	
(x)	The subproject has been prepared in accordance with ADB's	
	Resettlement Framework for NERCCDIP and, where necessary, a	
	compliant resettlement plan has been prepared.	
(xi)	The subproject is designed to be seismic resistant in accordance	
	with relevant Indian codes.	
(xii)	The subproject (i) meets the environmental subproject selection	
	criteria for NERCCDIP; (ii) has an initial environmental examination	
	(IEE) including an environmental management plan in accordance	
	with the environmental assessment and review framework as per	
	ADB Environmental Policy (2002); and (iii) has a sufficient budget	
	allocation to meet the cost for implementing the environmental	

	management plan.	
(xiii)	The subproject complies with the Indigenous Peoples Development	
	Framework for NERCCDIP and, if necessary, an indigenous peoples	
	development plan has been prepared.	
(xiv)	A time-bound operational plan consistent with the MoA signed	
	between the State under JNNURM where the subproject is located	
	and the Government of India, describing the institutional	
	arrangements for the implementation and handover of the subproject	
	from the SIPMIU to the service provider has been prepared.	

Note: The criteria are given in the Framework Financing Agreement.

B. Sub-sector Specific Criteria

Water Supply

No	Criteria	Status of Compliance
(i)	The subproject will fall within the following scope.	
	 Proposals for increasing efficiency and reducing O&M cost of 	
	existing systems through providing dedicated feeder power	
	lines/transformers or replacing old machineries (pumping and	
	others); or	
	Works related to improving the treated water quality specifically disinfection, by obligation; or	
	disinfection, by chlorination; orWorks related to removal of cable/bunched pipelines by laying	
	looped distribution pipe lines and providing new service lines to	
	achieve greater pressures and quantities at consumer end; or	
	Proposals aimed at assessing and reducing non-revenue water	
	(NRW) both on existing and proposed systems, resulting in	
	increasing water availability at no additional cost at the	
	consumer's end; or	
	Works related to implementing volumetric water tariff and NRW	
	management through installation of consumer and bulk meters	
	on pipelines and reservoirs; or	
	Proposals to improve and expand distribution systems through	
	pipelines and reservoirs; or	
	 Increase of production to cater to water demand for 2021, through construction of infiltration galleries, tube wells etc along 	
	with their ancillary works of pipelines, pump sets, power etc.	
(ii)	The EIRR of the subproject is at least 12%;	
(iii)	Augmentation of the distribution system in Kohima will only be	
(,	undertaken once (a) the State has initiated civil works leading to	
	source augmentation (sanctioned by GOI) and (b) a long-term and	
	sustainable partnership arrangement with provisions for	
	compensation for the use of water has been made with persons and	
	communities, who have rights to water sources.	
(iv)	The subproject is located in a city that has demonstrated its	
	commitment to implementing bulk and household individual	
()()	metering.	
(v)	Firm commitment to establish a separate accounting system by the service provider for urban water and sewerage has been provided.	
	service provider for dibarr water and sewerage has been provided.	

Sewerage and Sanitation

No	Criteria	Status of Compliance
(i)	 The subproject will fall within the following scope: Proposals towards coverage with a sewerage system of high density and polluted areas of cities, to avoid disposal of sanitary wastes and sullage into open drains or open land; or Prevention of pollution in surface and ground waters due to discharge of untreated sanitary wastes and sullage through installation of a piped sewerage system and treatment plants; or Adoption of low cost sanitation technologies for improving sanitary conditions in areas not covered by the piped sewer system; or Provisions of appropriate sewer inspection and maintenance equipment with adequate design safety provisions for regular cleaning of sewers, septic tanks etc. 	
(ii)	The subproject is located in the town core (most densely populated) area where a minimum per capita supply rate is established to support toilet flushing and where design provides sufficient flow in the network to achieve a self cleansing velocity. ¹	
(iii)	If the subproject concerns the installation of a sewer network, adequate treatment and disposal facilities are also provided as part of the subproject or funds from another financier for this purpose have been secured.	
(iv)	The EIRR of the subprojects is at least 12%.	
(v)	The zone covered by the subproject has a projected population density of at least 100 per hectare in 2017 or is highly vulnerable to landslides/flooding.	

Note: 1) CPHEEO Guidelines recommend the minimum self cleansing velocity as 0.69 m/sec.

Solid Waste Management

No	Criteria	Status of Compliance
(i)	 The subproject will fall within the following scope: Works related to improving collection efficiencies and transportation of solid wastes including separate collection of bio-medical wastes; Works related to increasing or improving capacities related to the sanitary treatment and disposal of wastes, or Activities to (i) enhance the awareness of communities towards better solid waste management practices and (ii) involve NGOs / Private sector in solid waste management. 	
(ii)	A timed solid waste management strategy for the subproject is in place, including (a) possible private sector participation and/or (b) community-level solid waste management improvement through civic awareness program.	
(iii)	The subproject complies with the Solid Waste Management and Handling Rules (2000) of the Gol and special directions of the Supreme Court.	
(iv)	No landfill related equipment will be procured till landfill sites are ready for disposal.	
(v)	If the subproject is a composting plant an adequate market/demand study showing the marketability of the compost is available.	
(vi)	Prior to undertaking the subproject, sufficient awareness building amongst beneficiaries must have been carried out to ensure segregation of waste at source and effective collection.	